



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 9

David F. Martinez
ATSER
1150 Richcrest Drive
Houston, TX 77060

COPY MAILED

MAR 08 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

ON PETITION

In re Application of
Birkner et al.
Application No. 09/814,250
Filed: March 21, 2001
For: Computerized Laboratory Information
Management System

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b), filed October 21, 2003, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **granted**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply within three months to the non-final Office action mailed December 20, 2002. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, this application became abandoned on March 21, 2003. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on June 27, 2003.

Petitioner has met the requirements to revive the above-identified application pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b).

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. If the person signing the instant petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed solely to the address currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay.¹ In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the

¹ See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997).

filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was intentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

The file is now being forwarded to Technology Center 2800 for further examination on the merits.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to Paralegal Liana Chase at (703) 306-0482.



Frances Hicks
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy

cc: Bao Tran
TRAN & ASSOCIATES
6768 Meadow Vista Court
San Jose, CA 95135